



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,493	09/26/2003	Thomas Berger	1685-3	3531
81/099	7590			
Thomas M. Galgano				
20 W. Park Avenue				
Suite 204				
Long Beach, NY 11561				
EXAMINER				
CUMARASEGARAN, VERN				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3629				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/28/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/672,493

Applicant(s)

BERGER, THOMAS

Examiner

VERN CUMARASEGARAN

Art Unit

3629

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Vern Cumarasegaran.(3) Thomas Gallagher.(2) Thomas M. Galgano.(4) John Weiss.

Date of Interview: 26 August 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-21.

Identification of prior art discussed: McDonald (US 7,054,833) and McKee et al (US 6,272,482).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Parties discussed possible corrections for claims 1-20 in order to overcome the 101 rejection. The parties also discussed the prior art and how it may read on the claims, as well as whether the provider or owner of the database is considered non-functional descriptive language.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/JOHN G. WEISS/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3629